

UNITED STATES MENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		RNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/54	5,241	11/21/95	PADIA	J	5117-P1-01	-E

12M1/0110

WILLIAM J. SCHRAMM REISING, ETHINGTON, BARNARD & PERR , LLP AND LEARMAN & MCCULLOCH 201 W. BIG BEAVER RD., SUITE 400 TROY MI 48084

EXA	MINER				
GRUMBLING, M					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
1202					

DATE MAILED:

01/10/97

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION							
TI	/ HE PERIO	OD FOR RESPON	SE:			•	
a) [is exter	nded to run	or @r	ntinues to run	3 months from th	e date of the final rejection	
b) [Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no m the date of the final rejection.	
	The da purpos	te on which the re- es of determining t	sponse, the petition , he period of extension	and the fee have on and the corres	been filed is the date of ponding amount of the fe	proposed response and the appropriate fee, the response and also the date for the e. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR asponse or as set forth in b) above.	
╸.			ordance with 37 CFF				
			nal rejection, filed	z/18/46	has been considered wi	th the following effect, but it is not deemed	
1. 🔽	The pro	posed amendmen	ts to the claim and /o	r specification wi	ll not be entered and the	final rejection stands because:	
		here is no convince resented.	ing showing under 3	7 CFR 1.116(b) w	thy the proposed amendr	nent is necessary and was not earlier	
	b. 🗤 А	hey raise new issu	es that would require	further consider	ation and/or search. (Se-	e Note).	
	c. 🔲 1	They raise the issue	of new matter. (See	Note).		•	
		They are not deem appeal.	ed to place the appli	ication in better fo	orm for appeal by materia	lly reducing or simplifying the issues for	
	е. 🔲 🤊	They present addit	onal claims without o	ancelling a corre	sponding number of final	ly rejected claims.	
	NOTE:	Su	the attach	ment			
			-				
2. 🗌		proposed or amen a-allowable claims.	ded claims	would	d be allowed if submitted	in a separately filed amendment cancelling	
3. 🗌	Upon the be as for		the proposed amend	dment 🗌 will be	entered will not be	entered and the status of the claims will	
	Claims	allowed:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		objected to:					
	Ciaiiiis	However:					
	☐ Ap	•	has overcome the fo	llowing rejection(s):		
4.	The aff	idavit, exhibit or re	quest for reconsidera	ation has been co	nsidered but does not ov	ercome the rejection because	
5.	The aff		not be considered b	ecause applicant	has not shown good and	sufficent reasons why it was not earlier	
Птһ	e proposi	ed drawing correcti	on 🗌 has 🗋 ha	as not been appre	oved by the examiner.	Maybe IN	
□ ot	•	•		.,		MATTHEW V. GRUMBLING 1 PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200	



Serial Number: 08/545,241 Page 2

Art Unit: 1202

This is in response to the amendment after final and request for reconsideration filed 12/18/1996. This amendment is made in response to the final rejection dated 10/25/1996.

The amendment is not being entered and the final rejection is maintained.

Applicant has not provided good and sufficient reason why the amendment is necessary and was not submitted prior to the final rejection. There was ample opportunity for applicant to have presented this amendment prior to the final rejection. The Omar et al. reference was presented in the first office action as being a 102 and 103 reference against the claims and this was maintained in the second office action, made final on 12/18/1996.

Even if applicant had provided good and sufficient reason why the amendment is necessary, the amendments are so prolix and cumbersome as to require additional consideration and/or search. Since the amendment is in response to an anticipation rejection and the claims have been changed in scope, further search of the generic claims would be necessary. Furthermore, the new claims would need to be considered with respect to new matter and





Serial Number: 08/545,241 Page 3

Art Unit: 1202

indefiniteness. Since there are 66 (sixty-six) pages of new amendments, this is no trivial matter.

For the above reasons, the final rejection of claims 1-4, 6-7, 9, 11-13, 15-28, and 41-49 is maintained for reasons set forth in the last office action, mailed 10/25/1996.

Applicant refers to an interview between the examiner and one William J. Schramm on 11/13/1996. The examiner has no recollection of this conversation, nor is there any previous mention of it on this record. (I.e. there is no examiner interview summary pertaining to this conversation.) In any case, it is obvious from the above remarks that entry of the amendment after final is not considered timely and in any case would require more than routine consideration. It is unlikely that the examiner would have agreed to such extensive amendment after final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew V. Grumbling whose telephone number is (703) 308-4713. The examiner can usually be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 a.m until 6:00 p.m.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.





Page 4

Serial Number: 08/545,241

Art Unit: 1202

A facsimile center has been established in Group 1200, room 3C10. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

Since the facsimile machines possess limited capacity it is requested that information disclosures, appeal briefs and other communications greater than 15 pages in length be mailed rather than submitted by facsimile. Also it is requested that communication not intended to be entered in the case (such as courtesy copies) be conspicuously marked "DRAFT" on the cover sheet of the facsimile transmission.

MATTHEW V. GRUMBLING PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

9 January 1997